

**To:** CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**From:** CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Fri 3/18/2011 7:06:05 PM  
**Subject:** Re: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?  
<http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html>

We just met with NRDC about the whole range of Delta issues. Good meeting.

One of the action items is that we asked to be included in any summary briefings that Jon Rosenfield (from TBI) gives on his review of the effects analysis. I'm suggesting to Karen that you should sit in on that briefing.

**From:** Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US  
**To:** Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 03/18/2011 09:40 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?

Any inkling they are reviewing one or more "coequal" goals alternatives? something similar to the state's flows report and another one in between that and their preferred?

**From:** Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US  
**To:** Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 03/18/2011 09:23 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?

My understanding is that they do in fact have some different scenarios, and are negotiating with some of the enviros to add another one. Given the disconnects between these various processes, its not clear whether any of the current discussions show up anywhere else in the process (like in the effects analysis).

**From:** Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US  
**To:** Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 03/18/2011 08:29 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?

Well, I'm glad we knew about it even if told it's not worth our time would still be nice to have it. They are so darn secretive with their public process. I've always been confused about how they can have an effects analysis w/o having a set of alternatives to evaluate the effects of .... ? Or maybe the effects analysis is 2100 pages of the effects of their preferred project only.

From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US  
To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 03/17/2011 03:45 PM  
Subject: Re: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?

This has got some history. We've asked for it but not pestered. Been told by several people (Meral, Nawi) its not worth our time to review. I do believe that we wouldn't find any wq analysis beyond salinity.

From: Erin Foresman  
Sent: 03/17/2011 01:09 PM PDT  
To: Tom Hagler; Bruce Herbold; Karen Schwinn  
Subject: 2100 page BDCP effects analysis out for review?

Just heard that Jon Rosenfield at TBI is reviewing this. Anyone else know about it on our team?

\*\*\*\*\*

Erin Foresman  
Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,  
US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers  
1325 J Street, 14th floor Sacramento, CA 95814-2922  
Phone: (916) 557 5253; Fax: (916) 557 6877

<http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html>